

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



DATE MAILED: 08/29/2003



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/047,858	01/14/2002	Victor Batinovich	P1208	6642	
24739 7	590 08/29/2003				
CENTRAL COAST PATENT AGENCY PO BOX 187 AROMAS, CA 95004			EXAMINER		
			DUVERNE, JEAN F		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2839		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

* .	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/047,858	BATINOVICH, VICTOR					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Jean F. Duverne	2839					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froe, cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed  ays will be considered timely.  om the mailing date of this communication.  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14.	January 2002 .						
	nis action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application	n.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-6 is/are withdrawn	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>7-21</u> is/are rejected.	•						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	,						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	pted or b)  objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapp	roved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.	·					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority document	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s) /		/ '					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 3. al Patent Application (PTO-152)					

	Application N			
Interview Summary	10/047,858			CTOR
interview Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Jean F. Duver	ne .	2839	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTC	personnel):			
(1) <u>Jean F. Duverne</u> .	(3)			·
(2) Mr. Donald Boys.	(4)			
Date of Interview: <u>01 August 2003</u> .				
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2) applicant	s representative	1	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)∏ No.			
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-21</u> .				
Identification of prior art discussed: none.			•	
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached.	g)□ was not re	eached. h)⊟ N	/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>During a telephone convelection was made without traverse to prosecute the inventage of the inventag</u>	versation with Mantion of group II  Indidents which to copy of the amond of the amond of the amond of the amond of the action MUST are last Office actions. THE MAILING OF THE SUBST	Mr. Donald Boys, claims 7-21.  the examiner agreendments that we consider that we consider the constant of the	eed would render the  SUBSTANCE Control of the substance	provisional er the claims claims  DF THE LICANT IS UMMARY
		•		
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.		Examiner's sign	ature, if required	<u>.                                    </u>



#### Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

### Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews

#### Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

#### 37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

- A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:
- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
  - (The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

### **Examiner to Check for Accuracy**

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-6, drawn to method of forming solder column classified in class
     29.
  - II. Claims 7-21, drawn to an integrated circuit, classified in class 439, subclass 71.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the column formation can also be done by welding.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. During a telephone conversation with Mr. Donald Boys on 8/11/2003 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of group II, claims 7-21. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this

Application/Control Number: 10/047,858

Art Unit: 2839

Office action. Claims 1-6 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 7-13, 15, and 17-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tokuda (US005870289A).

In regard to claims 7, 11, 18, 20-21, Tokuda's device discloses an integrated circuit assembly for mounting to a surface of a device board comprising a plurality of planar ICs at 10 or 210 each having a pads (11) on one surface, which connect to electronic devices in the IC and conductive columns to receive the pin (290) bonded to and extending from individual ones of the contact pads; a plurality of planar interposers or chip at 250, 260 parallel to and interspersed with the plurality of planar ICs, each interposer having second contact pads on at least one surface connected to the conductive columns, and traces on at least one connected to at least one surface connected to the second contact pads, the use of polymeric material, individual ones and the traces leading to electrical contact regions on an edge (7A, 7B) at a periphery of

the individual interposer, the contact regions facing away from the interposer in a direction parallel with the one surface, a plurality of conductive bars or pins (290) extending in a direction orthogonal the planar ICs and the interposers, the conductive bars metallurgically bonded to the individual ones of the outward-facing peripheral contact regions, the bars constraining the interspersed transposers and ICs into closely-spaced stack capable of providing common signal.

In regard to claims 8 and 19, Tokuda's device discloses the aforementioned limitations including the stacking of board with intermediary boards with trace contacts (see fig. 4).

In regard to claims 9-10, 12, Tokuda's device discloses the aforementioned limitations including the IC and PCB are memory board and the use of polymer material (see cols 7-9).

In regard to claims 13, 15, 17, Tokuda's device discloses the aforementioned limitations including the pads and traces formed on the non-conductive sheet (30) formed adhesive film, the contact being formed of copper (col. 11, lines 50-61).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

<sup>(</sup>a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2839

3. Claims 14, 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tokuda (US005870289A).

Tokuda's device discloses the aforementioned limitations but fails to disclose that the non-conductive sheet is formed of a BT resin. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the non-conductive sheet being formed of a BT resin, since it has been held that to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the non-conductive sheet with BT resin instead of adhesive film to improve the interconnection in Tokuda's device.

### Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jean F. Duverne whose telephone number is (703) 305-0297. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-8:00, Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynn Feild can be reached on (703) 308-2710. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is

(703) 308-0956.

JFD 8/21/2003 Jean F. Duverne Primary Examiner Art Unit 2839